

Agenda Working Group 4 „ Monitoring progress towards decisions and recommendations”



Time	Topic and key issues to be addressed	Speaker	Method	Objective
14.00	Welcome, Introduction to the issues of the working group (including the key VGGT provisions – part 7), Presentation of key questions, Explanation of the objective of the WG, Presentation of the agenda & introduction of the speakers.	Moderator Ms Gisele Henriques (CIDSE)	Objectives, key questions, agenda and part 7 VGGT will be presented by a ppt slide.	The participants have clear understanding of the objective and agenda of the WG and are informed about the key VGGT provisions.
Part 1: Monitoring the implementation of the VGGT at global level: the role of the CFS				
14.10	Activities of the CFS What are the key VGGT provisions related to the role of CFS? What is special and new about these provisions? What is discussed at CFS (CFS 39 decision to establish an innovative monitoring mechanism; OEWG; CFS 40 activities)? What are the expectations and wishes of the CFS - also related to specific actors?	Ms Mary Mubi (Chair of the Monitoring OEWG, CFS)	Presentation	Participants are informed about the CFS decisions, activities and proposals with regard to the specific topic of monitoring and evaluation of the VGGT implementation at CFS level. They learn about the way ahead and the role and activities of the OEWG. They also understand the difference between the overall monitoring mandate of the CFS and a specific VGGT monitoring.
14.25	What is the role of the FAO? How can the FAO support global activities for monitoring and evaluation of the VGGT? How could they support CFS activities? Where does FAO see its specific role within the concrete activities discussed right now at CFS?	Ms Anni Arial (FAO)	Call-In	Participants understand the different roles of FAO and CFS (which sometimes gets mixed up) and the options and limits of the FAO for supporting CFS VGGT monitoring of implementation.
14.30	A civil society perspective How does civil society see the role of the CFS (and the FAO)? For which specific purpose should CFS monitor & evaluate VGGT implementation? Where does CS see its own role in supporting VGGT monitoring at CFS level?	Ms Rehema Bavuma (WFF)	Brief input	Participants are informed about the concrete proposals and discussion of the CSM.
14.40	Perspective of a government Where does the Government of Brazil see the role of CFS? Which concrete activities at CFS-level could be helpful and	Ms Candice Vianna (Alternate Permanent Representative of Brazil)	Brief input	Participants learn about specific ideas and proposals from a government perspective.

Agenda Working Group 4 „ Monitoring progress towards decisions and recommendations”



	supportive for national activities?	to FAO), <i>tbc</i>		
14.50	Discussion What is the common understanding of the role of CFS? Which concrete proposals and recommendations could be taken up by the CFS, the FAO, CSOs and Governments to support and strengthen ongoing activities?	Moderator Gisele Henriques (CIDSE)		The WG should discuss the different perspectives and come up with key issues/ recommendations for the role of and next steps within CSF. The resource persons should take an active role in the WG discussion.
Part 2: Synergies with existing regional and global processes and initiatives				
15.10	Existing human rights monitoring activities The VGGT are rooted in a human rights framework. How could existing human rights monitoring activities and bodies (local, national, regional and international) inform and support VGGT monitoring activities?	Ms Natalia Landivar (FIAN Ecuador) ,	Brief Input	Participants have an understanding of the substantial overlapping between HR and VGGT monitoring. They learn how existing HR monitoring could support VGGT monitoring at all levels and are informed about concrete steps that could be taken.
15.20	The African Land Policy Initiative LPI For Africa, the LPI presents a key initiative in the land sector. Where is overlapping related to monitoring activities? Can we learn from experiences of the LPI? Where could both - LPI and VGGT – inform and possibly support each other?	Paul Jere, (LPI Malawi)	Brief Input	Participants are informed about the LPI and concrete synergies between both processes.
15.30	Discussion Where are synergies to support VGGT monitoring and evaluation? Which concrete next steps could be taken?	Moderator Ms Gisele Henriques (CIDSE)	Guided discussion	The WG discusses the relevance of the different processes and comes up with key recommendations of how synergies with VGGT monitoring could be used.
16.00	--	<i>Coffee break</i>		<i>Informal discussions/Exchange Moderator, Rapporteur and support team prepare draft recommendations for part 1 and 2</i>
Part 3: The national level: Options for monitoring the implementation through national multi-stakeholder platforms - the case of Myanmar				
16.15	Perspective of the government What has been done by the Government so far? What are the	Mr Tin Maung Than Training and Research	Presentation	Participants are informed about initial activities and plans of the

Agenda Working Group 4 „ Monitoring progress towards decisions and recommendations”



	plans for the future? Where does the Government see the role of the civil society in these plans? Could a multi-stakeholder platform be the place for exchange with civil society?	Development Division (TRDD) Forest Department Ministry of Environmental Conservation and Forestry (MOECAF)		Government. They get an idea of how the Government would see the role of a national multi-stakeholder platform and what steps could be taken in that direction.
16.30	A civil society perspective What has been done by CS so far? What would they like to see with regards to such a platform and its monitoring activities? Which recommendations for action do they have for the Government?	Dr. Khin Zaw Win (Director of a local civil society organization: Tampadipa)	Presentation	Participants are informed about the existing CS monitoring work and where they would see the role of such a platform. They learn about concrete proposals for next steps that could be taken to this end.
16.40	FAO as facilitator? How could FAO support such platforms? What are the experiences of the FAO in Myanmar? What can we learn for other countries?	Ms Anni Arial (FAO)	Call-in	Participants are informed about the FAO activities and get a picture of the possible role FAO could play for such national processes.
16.45	Discussion How can the different stakeholders contribute to an effective monitoring? Where is a common ground for concrete next steps?	Moderator Ms Gisele Henriques (CIDSE)	Guided discussion	Exchange between the different stakeholders. Proposals for next steps that could be taken are elaborated.
17.05	--	<i>Break</i>		<i>Informal discussions/Exchange Moderator, Rapporteur and support team include part 3 into the draft recommendations</i>
Summing up: Recommendations for action				
17.20	Summing up key discussions and presentation of draft recommendations	Moderator Ms Gisele Henriques , (CIDSE)	ppt for draft recommendations	Key issues from the discussions will be highlighted and a set of draft recommendations will be presented.
17.30	Discussion of the draft recommendations	Moderator Ms Gisele Henriques , (CIDSE)	Guided discussion including direct work on wording at ppt	The working group discusses the recommendations and agree on a final set of concrete

Agenda Working Group 4 „ Monitoring progress towards decisions and recommendations”



				recommendations. Ideas is to have 1 main/ key recommendation for each part of the WG and if needed 2-3 sub-recommendations.
17.50	Presentation of the final recommendations	Moderator Ms Gisele Henriques, (CIDSE)	ppt with final recommendations	Presentation of final recommendations.
18.00	Closing	Moderator Ms Gisele Henriques, (CIDSE)		Final words and thanks to all participants.